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## About this Profile

Welcome to the 2023 Super School Profile for Bessemer Grange Primary School. The Profile provides a comprehensive summary of numerous aspects of a school, including attainment and progress information, contextual analysis and attendance information, all in one place.

The Super School Profile provides you with:

- Headline analysis across a range of topics
- Visual cues to help you easily pull out key points from the analysis
- Benchmark information so you know how the school compares to averages
- Time series information so you can see direction of travel

The Profile is intended for use by headteachers, senior leadership teams, governors, link inspectors and anyone interested in getting a comprehensive, yet easy-to-digest overview of a school. The Profile may be particularly valuable in the run up to an Ofsted inspection, but it is expected to be a useful guide throughout the year.

## How should I be using the Super School Profile?

The Super School Profile is designed for anyone with an interest in your school's performance to get a strategic view of the key issues for your school, and it is up to you how you choose to use it.

## Where does the data in the Super School Profile come from?

All data sources and some caveats to consider when looking at the analysis are detailed in the Appendix.

## Important Information about Key Stage 2 Analysis

The Key Stage 2 attainment and progress analysis in this version of the Profile uses validated KS2 data from the DfE performance tables, and excludes pupils who recently arrived from overseas.

This differs from the version of this profile produced in September which used unvalidated data for 2023

Further information on the data sources used in this Profile can be found in the Appendix.

## Explanation of Colour Coding Used

## What do the coloured arrows mean in the analysis?

The Super School Profile allows you to compare your school's current performance with other comparators (e.g. a prior year or the England average). To aid this comparison, some of the tables of data include green and red arrows showing how your school compares. For attainment measures, the shading varies depending on how far above or below the comparator your school is. If the difference is the equivalent of 3 or more pupils, the arrow has a darker shade. The arrows do not indicate statistical significance.

## Key

- >=3 pupils better than the comparator
- $>=1$ pupil better than the comparator

No arrow means the difference is within the equivalent of 1 pupil

- >=1 pupil below the comparator
, >=3 pupils below the comparator


## Worked Example - Attainment

In this example the school has 50 pupils and therefore each pupil is $2 \%$ of the cohort (i.e. $100 \% / 50$ ). Hence, darker shades are used for differences of at least $6 \%$ (i.e. $3 \times 2 \%$ ).


## Colour coding on the Attainment \& Progress Summary

The attainment and progress summary page uses colour coding in the same way as described in the example above. However, colour is used in the background shading of the school percentages, rather than on arrows, to compare school performance with England averages for the last four years.

## Shading used on the Attainment Pupil Groups Grids

The detailed analysis of performance by pupil groups uses shading to highlight groups that perform above or below average. Note that this does not mean their performance is particularly high or low compared to the same group of pupils elsewhere. Where the group performs at least $10 \%$ above or below the 'All Pupils' figure, a darker shade will be used. If the gap is at least $5 \%$ a lighter shade will be used. Small groups of 3 or less are in grey font and never shaded green or red.

## Colour coding in the Pupil Behaviour section

Where the exclusion rate is lower than the comparator rate, it is indicated with a dark green down arrow (since lower rates are better than higher rates). Any rate that is higher than the comparator rate is shown with a dark red up arrow. In other cases, the arrows may be black because there is no "better or worse" judgement that can be applied.


## Questions to Consider

## Questions to consider when reviewing attainment analysis

This section considers analysis across the whole of the primary phase, using similar types of analysis for each assessment. As such, there are a number of key questions that you should consider when reviewing the analysis in this section. For example:

1 How do your school results compare with LA and national figures? Are there any noticeable differences and, if so, can the reasons why be identified?
2 Can you identify a clear trend in your school performance, and how does this compare to the trends for the LA or England? Can the reasons for changes be identified? Are they linked to random fluctuations, changes in cohort size or 'real' changes in policy and practice?

3 How does the performance of particular groups of pupils compare with your school as a whole, and for the LA averages for that pupil group? Furthermore are the issues identified consistent across year groups? Are additional resources needed to support particular groups of pupils?
4 Can you identify any specific intervention/strategy that enabled certain pupils to attain above the expected standard? If yes, how can the school develop and share what has worked well throughout the school?

5 Were pupils who attained below the expected standard identified as being 'at risk' of underachieving? If so, what actions were taken?
6 If particular curriculum strengths have been identified, consider any strategies in place that may have positively impacted on a subject. Or if curriculum weaknesses have been identified, what possible improvement strategies could be implemented for these subjects? How far are the strengths and weaknesses identified specific to a particular year group or are they the same for the school as a whole?

7 Are there implications from the analysis for teaching and learning strategies, planning, assessment, professional development, provision or interventions?

## Additional questions to consider when reviewing KS1 to KS2 progress analysis

1 Are there noticeable differences between the progress pupils made from KS1 to KS2 in reading, writing and in maths? Can the reasons why be identified?
2 Which pupils do not have KS1 prior attainment and are therefore not included in the KS2 progress analysis? How might you measure progress for them?

## Note on vulnerable pupils analysis

It is important to note that the numbers of pupils in certain groups can be small. Therefore, particular care should be taken when analysing the results of small groups as the effect of one additional pupil on a small group of pupils can be considerable (for larger groups the effect will be less marked).

Attainment \& Progress Summary (2023)

i) \% Achieving Expected Level in Each Aspect of Learning


NB. Comparisons for 2019 and earlier years show the closest equivalent aspect from the previous EYFSP framework (if available) - see the Appendix for more information

The arrows compare your school to the LA and England averages for the current year. See the Introduction for more details. Local authority data excludes pupils at PVIs.

ii) \% Achieving Good Level of Development (Time Series)

|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -\infty \text { England } \\ & =\text { LA } \\ & =\text { School } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2018 | 2019 | 2022 | 2023 |  |
| England | 72\% | 72\% | 65\% | 67\% |  |
| LA | 75\% | 74\% | 68\% | 70\% |  |
| School | 83\% | 84\% | 82\% | 84\% |  |

Early Years Foundation Stage Profile (2023)
iii) Vulnerable Groups Analysis - \% achieving GLD and \% at Expectation in All Areas of Learning


Year 1 Phonics (2023)

ii) \% Achieving Phonics Threshold Achieved by Year 2 (Cumulative)

iii) Vulnerable Groups Analysis


| Indicator |  | School <br> (67 Pupils) | Comparisons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LA |  | Engla |  |
| \% <br> Expected | Reading |  | 81\% | 71\% | - | 68\% | - |
|  | Writing | 79\% | 65\% | - | 60\% | - |
|  | Maths | 82\% | 73\% | - | 70\% | - |
|  | RWM | 75\% | 61\% | - | 56\% | - |

## i) \% Reaching Expected Standard


ii) \% Reaching Expected Standard (Time Series)

iii) \% Working at Greater Depth

| Indicator |  | School <br> (67 Pupils) | Comparisons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LA | England |  |
| \% <br> Greater <br> Depth | Reading |  | 30\% | 20\% | - | 19\% | - |
|  | Writing | 21\% | 11\% | - | 8\% | - |
|  | Maths | 28\% | 19\% | - | 16\% | - |
|  | RWM | 18\% | 9\% | - | 6\% | - |


iv) \% at Greater Depth (Time Series)


## v) Vulnerable Groups Analysis - TA


vi) Vulnerable Groups Analysis - Additional Detail \& Gaps (1)

## Key to shading (on Exp + only):

| $>3^{*}$ above All pupils$>1^{*}$ above All pupils$\square$ <br> $>1^{*}$ below All pupils <br> $>3^{*}$ below All pupils | Pupils |
| :---: | :---: |
| *Number of pupils (see page 4 for explanation) | No. |
| All Pupils | 67 |
| ¢) Female | 22 |
| - Male | 45 |
| し Gap (Male - Female) |  |


| Reading |  | Writing |  | Maths |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp+ | Greater <br> Depth | Exp+ | Greater <br> Depth | Exp+ | Greater <br> Depth |
| 81\% | 30\% | 79\% | 21\% | 82\% | 28\% |


| RWM |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exp+ | Greater <br> Depth |
| $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $18 \%$ |


| Eligible | 12 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Not eligible | 52 |
| Gap (Eligible - Not eligible) |  |


| $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $37 \%$ |
| $-48 \%$ | $-37 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ | $27 \%$ |
| $-37 \%$ | $-27 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $35 \%$ |
| $-\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $-35 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ | $23 \%$ |
| $-41 \%$ | $-23 \%$ |


| Disadvantaged | 12 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other | 52 |
| Gap (Disadvantaged - Other) |  |


| $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $37 \%$ |
| $-48 \%$ | $-37 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ | $27 \%$ |
| $-\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $-27 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $35 \%$ |
| $-\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $-35 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ | $23 \%$ |
| $-\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ | $-23 \%$ |


| No SEN | 51 |
| :--- | :---: |
| USEN Support | 12 |
| EHCP | 1 |


| $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ | $37 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ | $27 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ | $33 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ | $8 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ | $24 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

등

| 22 |
| :--- |
| 21 |
| 21 |


| $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ | $55 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $50 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $19 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $10 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $14 \%$ | $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $5 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $45 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $24 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 6 \%}$ | $14 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ | $41 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $10 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ | $5 \%$ |


| $95-100 \%$ Attendance | 43 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $90-95 \%$ Attendance | 14 |
| $85-90 \%$ Attendance | 6 |
| $<=80 \%$ Attendance | 1 |


| $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ | $28 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $29 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ | $50 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $21 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ | $21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ | $33 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 6 \%}$ | $28 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $36 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 3 \%}$ | $17 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $19 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $21 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ | $17 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

vi) Vulnerable Groups Analysis - Additional Detail \& Gaps (2)

Key to shading (on Exp + only):

| $>3^{*}$ above All pupils$>1^{*}$ above All pupils$\square>1^{*}$ below All pupils | Pupils |
| :---: | :---: |
| *Number of pupils (see page 4 for explanation) | No. |
| All Pupils | 67 |
| English | 53 |
| ㅊ. Not English | 11 |
| Gap (Not English - English) |  |


| Reading |  | Writing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp+ | Greater <br> Depth | Exp + | Greater <br> Depth |
| 81\% | 30\% | 79\% | 21\% |
| 81\% | 32\% | 79\% | 23\% |
| 82\% | 18\% | 82\% | 18\% |
| +1\% | -14\% | +3\% | -5\% |


| Maths |  | RWM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp+ | Greater <br> Depth | Exp+ | Greater <br> Depth |
| 82\% | 28\% | 75\% | 18\% |
| 83\% | 30\% | 74\% | 21\% |
| 82\% | 18\% | 82\% | 9\% |
| -1\% | -12\% | +8\% | -12\% |


| Asian | 2 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Black | 5 |
| Mixed | 12 |
| White | 32 |
| Other | 5 |
| Unknown | 11 |
| Bangladeshi | 2 |
| Black African | 4 |
| Black Caribbean | 1 |
| White \& Asian | 5 |
| White \& Black Caribbean | 1 |
| Other Mixed | 6 |
| White British | 26 |
| White Irish | 1 |
| White Other | 5 |
| Any Other Ethnicity | 5 |


| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $33 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 1 \%}$ | $38 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $27 \%$ |


| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ | $25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6 4 \%}$ | $18 \%$ |


| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $50 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 4 \%}$ | $25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $27 \%$ |


| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $19 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $18 \%$ |


| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $33 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ | $35 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $60 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |


| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $17 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ | $27 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0} \%$ |


| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $60 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $33 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ | $31 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0} \%$ |


| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $17 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $23 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |

Year 4 Multiplication Tables Check (2023)
Bessemer Grange Primary School 1e

i) \% Reaching Expected Standard (Test and TA)


## ii) \% Reaching Expected Standard (Time Series)



NB. Analysis shown is based on DfE validated data from December each year. See Appendix 1 for further information
iii) \% Reaching a Higher Standard (Test and TA)

| Indicator |  | School | Comparisons |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (65 Pupils) | LA |  | England |  |
| Reading | Test | 34\% | 33\% |  | 29\% | - |
| Writing | TA | 35\% | 20\% | - | 13\% | - |
| Maths | Test | 35\% | 30\% | - | 24\% | - |
| RWM | Test/TA | 26\% | 12\% | - | 8\% | - |
| GPS | Test | 37\% | 35\% | 令 | 30\% | - |

iv) \% Reaching a Higher Standard (Time Series)


NB. Analysis shown is based on DfE validated data from December each year. See Appendix 1 for further information

## v) Vulnerable Groups Analysis


vi) Vulnerable Groups Analysis - Additional Detail $\boldsymbol{\&}$ Gaps (1)

Key to shading (on Exp + only):

*Number of pupils (see page 4 for explanation)

| *Number of pupils (see page 4 for explanation) | No. |
| :--- | :---: |
| All Pupils | 65 |
| 닝․ Female | 34 |
| Male | 31 |
| U. | Gap (Male - Female) |
| Eligible |  |
| Not eligible | 15 |
| Gap (Eligible - Not eligible) | 49 |


| $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $39 \%$ |
| $-18 \%$ | $-19 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $13 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ | $43 \%$ |
| $-\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $-30 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ | $13 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ | $43 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{- 3 1 \%}$ | $-30 \%$ |


| $33 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $69 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $-36 \%$ | $-18 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $13 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $45 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{-}$ | $-32 \%$ |




| $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $13 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $44 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{-}$ | $-31 \%$ |



| $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ | $19 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $44 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{+ 2 \%}$ | $-25 \%$ |


| No SEN | 48 |
| :--- | :---: |
| min | SEN Support |
| EHCP | 12 |


| $83 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $25 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $98 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 8 \%}$ | $48 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $77 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $17 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{9 4 \%}$ | $50 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $0 \%$ |

¢
$\frac{5}{5}$






| $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | $8 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $12 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $73 \%$ |


| Autumn | 15 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Spring | 26 |
| Summer | 24 |


| $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $53 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ | $46 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 8 \%}$ | $8 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $67 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $35 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $17 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ | $53 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ | $46 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 1 \%}$ | $13 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $40 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ | $35 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $8 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ | $53 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 7 \%}$ | $38 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 9 \%}$ | $25 \%$ |


| $95-100 \%$ Attendance | 45 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $90-95 \%$ Attendance | 15 |
| $85-90 \%$ Attendance | 2 |
| $80-85 \%$ Attendance | 1 |
| $<=80 \%$ Attendance | 1 |


| $\mathbf{7 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 7 \%}$ | $42 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $27 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ | $44 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $20 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{6 9 \%}$ | $33 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | $13 \%$ |
| $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |


| $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$ | $44 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 7 \%}$ | $27 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## vi) Vulnerable Groups Analysis - Additional Detail \& Gaps (2)

Key to shading (on Exp + only):

| $>3^{*}$ above All pupils $>1 *$ below All pupils $>1^{*}$ above All pupils >3* below All pupils | Pupils | Reading |  | Writing (TA) |  | Maths |  | RWM |  | GPS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *Number of pupils (see page 4 for explanation) | No. | Exp+ | HS | Exp + | HS | Exp+ | HS | Exp+ | HS | Exp+ | HS |
| All Pupils | 65 | 68\% | 34\% | 82\% | 35\% | 77\% | 35\% | 62\% | 26\% | 80\% | 37\% |
| English | 47 | 70\% | 34\% | 81\% | 38\% | 74\% | 36\% | 62\% | 26\% | 79\% | 34\% |
| < Not English | 16 | 56\% | 31\% | 81\% | 25\% | 81\% | 31\% | 56\% | 25\% | 81\% | 44\% |
| Gap (Not English - English) |  | -14\% | -3\% | - | -13\% | +7\% | -5\% | -6\% | -1\% | +2\% | +10\% |
| ¢ Asian | 3 | 33\% | 33\% | 67\% | 33\% | 67\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 67\% | 67\% |
| ㅇ. Black | 15 | 53\% | 27\% | 87\% | 20\% | 80\% | 20\% | 47\% | 20\% | 80\% | 40\% |
| 은 Mixed | 11 | 55\% | 27\% | 73\% | 27\% | 64\% | 27\% | 45\% | 27\% | 73\% | 18\% |
| W White | 28 | 82\% | 43\% | 82\% | 46\% | 79\% | 50\% | 75\% | 32\% | 86\% | 43\% |
| Other | 3 | 67\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% |
| IIT Unknown | 5 | 80\% | 40\% | 100\% | 60\% | 80\% | 40\% | 80\% | 20\% | 80\% | 40\% |
| Pakistani | 1 | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Asian Other | 2 | 50\% | 50\% | 100\% | 50\% | 100\% | 50\% | 50\% | 50\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Black African | 10 | 40\% | 20\% | 80\% | 20\% | 80\% | 20\% | 40\% | 20\% | 80\% | 50\% |
| Black Caribbean | 2 | 100\% | 50\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| 2 Black Other | 3 | 67\% | 33\% | 100\% | 33\% | 67\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 67\% | 33\% |
| White \& Black African | 1 | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| White \& Black Caribbean | 3 | 33\% | 33\% | 67\% | 33\% | 67\% | 33\% | 33\% | 33\% | 67\% | 0\% |
| \% Other Mixed | 7 | 57\% | 29\% | 71\% | 29\% | 57\% | 29\% | 43\% | 29\% | 71\% | 29\% |
| White British | 23 | 78\% | 43\% | 78\% | 52\% | 74\% | 52\% | 70\% | 35\% | 83\% | 48\% |
| White Irish | 1 | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| White Other | 4 | 100\% | 25\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 25\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% |
| Any Other Ethnicity | 3 | 67\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% | 67\% | 0\% |

vii) KS1 to KS2 Transition Matrices

|  |  |  | Key Stage 2 - Reading Test |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading |  | Total <br> Pupils | $\begin{gathered} \text { Below } \\ \text { Expected } \\ <100 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Expected Standard 100 to 109 | Higher Standard 110+ |
|  | Pre-KS | 1 | 1 100\% LA: 85\% | 0 0\% <br> LA: 13\% | $0 \quad 0 \%$ <br> LA: 2\% |
|  | Working Towards | 12 | 9 75\% LA: 53\% | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \quad 17 \% \\ & \text { LA: 42\% } \end{aligned}$ | $18 \%$ <br> LA: 5\% |
|  | Expected Standard | 25 | $1040 \%$ <br> LA: 14\% | 13 52\% <br> LA: 57\% | $28 \%$ LA: 29\% |
|  | Greater Depth | 25 | $0 \quad 0 \%$ <br> LA: 1\% | 6 24\% LA: 24\% | 19 76\% <br> LA: 76\% |


|  |  |  | Key Stage 2 - Maths Test |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maths |  | Total <br> Pupils | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Below } \\ & \text { Expected } \\ & <100 \end{aligned}$ | Expected <br> Standard <br> 100 to 109 | Higher Standard 110+ |
|  | Pre-KS | 2 | 2 100\% <br> LA: 90\% | 0 0\% <br> LA: 10\% | 0 0\% <br> LA: 0\% |
|  | Working Towards | 11 | $7 \quad 64 \%$ <br> LA: 57\% | $4 \quad 36 \%$ <br> LA: 41\% | $0 \quad 0 \%$ <br> LA: 2\% |
|  | Expected <br> Standard | 32 | $6 \quad 19 \%$ <br> LA: 10\% | 21 66\% <br> LA: 66\% | $5 \quad 16 \%$ <br> LA: 24\% |
|  | Greater Depth | 18 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \quad 0 \% \\ \text { LA: 0\% } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{0 \%} \\ & \text { LA: } 24 \% \end{aligned}$ | 18 100\% LA: 76\% |


| viii) KS1 to KS2 Progress Scores |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School |  | Comparisons |  |  |
| Subject | Average KS2 Scaled Score* | Progress Score | LA | Nation |  |
| Reading | 104.8 | -2.0 | +0.8 | 0.0 | $\downarrow$ |
| Writing |  | +2.1 | +1.4 令 | 0.0 | - |
| Maths | 105.7 | +0.2 | +1.1 | 0.0 | - |

* NB. Some pupils with a scaled score are not included in the progress scores (e.g. because
they have no prior attainment) and vice-versa

Analysis does not include pupils for whom KS1 prior attainment was not available or who were absent or had lost scripts for the KS2 tests
ix) Vulnerable Groups Analysis - Average Progress Score (Reading, Writing and Maths)


## Vulnerable Groups Summary (2023)



This analysis shows the percentage of pupils achieving the expected standard for each pupil group at Bessemer Grange Primary School. Only groups with at least 3 pupils are shown. Groups of under 5 pupils

| >3* above Eng. All pupils | $>1^{*}$ below Eng. All pupils |
| :---: | :---: |
| >1* above Eng. All pupils | >3* below Eng. All pupils | are shown in grey. Numbers of pupils in each group are given in brackets. The colour coding is based on the group difference to the England average for all pupils (see the key to the right).

[^0]
## Need to understand more?

 group.


School Census Analysis (Jan 2023)


School Census Analysis (Jan 2023)



Map shows 547 pupils. Does not show 11 outside the map boundaries and 0 with missing or unrecognised postcode.

## Key (Deprivation Band):

- Band 1a: 10\% Most Deprived
- Band 1b: 10-20\%
- Band 2: 20-40\%
- Band 3: 40-60\%
- Band 4: 60-80\%
- Band 5: 20\% Least Deprived
- Unknown IDACI band
- Location of school


## Questions to Consider

1. Are there local areas that do not have many pupils attending your school? If so, what are the reasons for this?
2. Do pupils that travel further to your school come from more deprived or less deprived areas?



| ii) \% Absence by Reason - Three Terms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Comparisons |  | 0\% | 10\% | \% of Total Absences |  |  | 50\% | 60\% | 70\% | ■ England |
|  | Reason | Code | School | LA | England |  |  | 20\% | 30\% | 40\% |  |  |  |  |
|  | IIIness (inc from COVID) | I | 63.6\% | 54.9\% | 65.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Medical/dental appt | M | 4.7\% | 4.5\% - | 3.8\% 南 |  | .7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Religious observance | R | 3.2\% | 3.2\% | 0.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Study leave | S | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Traveller absence | T | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Agreed holiday | H | 2.8\% | 1.4\% | 0.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Excluded | E | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ■ School |
|  | Other authorised | C | 4.0\% | 6.5\% | 5.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Holiday not agreed | G | 8.0\% | 6.9\% | 8.1\% | 8.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Arrived late | U | 1.9\% | 2.9\% | 2.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Other unauthorised | 0 | 9.5\% | 17.8\% | 13.1\% |  | -9. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No reason yet | N | 2.2\% | 1.5\% - | 0.6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]iv) Vulnerable Groups Analysis - Three Terms

v) Map of Persistent Absentees


## Key (Attendance Band):

- Band 3: 86-90\% (Persistent Absentee)
- Band 4: 80-85\% (Persistent Absentee)Band 5: <=80\% (Persistent
Absentee)
- Location of school


## Questions to Consider

1. Are there any concentrations of dots that suggest persistent absence is a problem in particular geographical areas?
2. If so, are there particular actions you need to take to address this?

## Notes:

Each dot refers to a single postcode. Where more than one pupil lives in a particular postcode, the dot will be larger. If pupils in the same postcode have different attendance levels, the dot will become a pie chart.

Pupils from Nursery and Reception are not plotted on the map.

Exclusions \& Suspensions (2022/23)

## i) Headline Exclusions \& Suspensions Indicators

| Indicator |  | Primary Comparisons |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sch (2022/23) |  | LA (2022/23) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { England } \\ & (2021 / 22) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Permanent Exclusions (\% of all pupils) |  | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | $\square$ |
|  | No. of instances (suspensions as a \% of all pupils) | 0 | 0.00\% | 1.38\% | 1.42\% | $\dagger$ |
|  | No. of pupils with suspensions (\% of all pupils) | 0 | 0.00\% | 0.76\% | 0.68\% | $\square$ |
|  | Average length in days of suspensions |  | - | 1.6 | 2.0 |  |
|  | 0.5 to 5 Days | - | - | 99.1\% | 98.7\% |  |
|  | 6 to 15 days | - | - | 0.9\% | 1.1\% |  |
|  | 16+ Days | - | - | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |  |


| Suspensions Over Time <br> Instances as a \% of All Pupils |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |

ii) Suspensions by Reason (\% of all instances of suspensions)

|  |  | Primary Comparisons^ |  |  | \% of all suspensions |  |  |  |  | 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reason (Selected codes) | Code | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sch } \\ (2022 / 23) \end{gathered}$ | LA (2022/23) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { England } \\ (2021 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 80\% |  |
| Physical Assault Against a Pupil | PP | - | 32.3\% | 17.6\% |  |  |  |  |  | LA |
| Physical Assault Against an Adult | PA | - | 28.8\% | 24.2\% |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ School |
| Verbal abuse/threatening behaviour - adult | VA | - | 6.6\% | 12.3\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Verbal abuse/threatening behaviour - pupil | VP | - | 4.1\% | 5.5\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offensive Weapon | OW | - | 0.9\% | 1.1\% | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bullying | BU | - | 1.9\% | 0.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abuse - Race | RA | - | 2.8\% | 0.9\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Abuse - Sexual Orientation / Gender Identity | LG | - | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sexual Misconduct | SM | - | 0.9\% | 0.6\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Damage | DM | - | 4.1\% | 6.5\% | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Theft | TH | - | 0.6\% | 0.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drugs and alcohol related | DA | - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Persistent Disruptive Behaviour | DB | - | 15.7\% | 29.1\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inappropriate Use of Online Technology | MT | - | 1.3\% | 0.4\% | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]iii) Vulnerable Groups Analysis - Suspensions (as a \% of Pupils in Each Group)


NB. Because a pupil may have more than one suspension, the percentage for a particular group could be more than 100\%. Numbers in brackets show the number of pupils in each group in the school.


School Workforce (November 2022)

| i) School workforce breakdown by role type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School headcount | FTE |  | 0 | FTE vs LA Primary average |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | School | LA Primary average |  | 10 | 20 |  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 |
| Classroom Teachers | 27 | 21.3 | 12.8 |  |  | 21.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers in the Leadership Group | 6 | 2.1 | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total number of Teachers | 33 | 23.4 | 16.0 |  |  | 23.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teaching Assistants | 48 | 29.6 | 12.5 |  |  |  | 29.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Non Classroom-based School Support Staff | 4 | 4.0 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Auxiliary Staff | 23 | 8.8 | 3.1 |  | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total School Workforce | 108 | 65.8 | 36.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square \mathrm{LA}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| i) Staff characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School \% | LA Primary average \% | 0\% | 20\% | 40\% | 60\% | 80\% | 100\% |
| All Teachers who Are Male (\%) | 21\% | 18\% |  | 21\% |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers Aged 50 or over (\%) | 9\% | 19\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers with Qualified Teacher Status (\%) | 100\% | 96\% |  |  |  |  |  | \% |
| All Teaching Assistants who Are Male (\%) | 8\% | 7\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\square$ LA |  | - School |  |  |


| iii) Teacher sickness absence |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Teachers with at Least One Period of Sickness <br> (\%) | Total Number of Days Lost to Sickness | Average Number of Days Lost to Teacher Sickness (per teacher) |
| School | 50\% | 39 | 1.1 |
| LA Primary average | 64\% | 95 | 5.0 |


| iv) Other workforce indicators |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Gross Salary of All Teachers (£) | Pupil: Teacher Ratio FTE |
| School | £46,275 | 23.1 |
| LA Primary average | £49,753 | 18.7 |

[^3]

This page uses the home locations of children at your school matched to data from the 2021 Census and DWP to help illustrate the sorts of challenges that your children may be facing. The postcodes for each child at the school have been matched to small geographical areas (containing around 300 people) which gives an average across your school based on those local households. LA averages are based on all pupils at state-funded schools in the LA. See Appendix 1 for full details of the measures included.



## Appendix 1 - Data Sources \& Caveats

| Section | Data Source |
| :---: | :---: |
| EYFSP | Validated EYFSP returns from schools. Local Authority figures do not include private, voluntary or independent (PVI) settings, unlike some other published analysis. National data is taken from the DfE Statistical First Release. Comparators for 2019 and earlier show the closest possible aspect from the previous framework - see Appendix 3 for more information. |
| Year 1 Phonics | Validated Year 1 Phonics check returns from schools. Analysis also shows the proportion of the full Year 2 cohort (who were on roll at the time of the phonics check) who achieved the threshold, including those who resat the check in Year 2. National data is taken from the DfE Statistical First Release. |
| Key Stage 1 | Validated KS1 teacher assessment returns from schools. National data is taken from the DfE Statistical First Release. |
| Year 4 <br> Multiplication <br> Check | Test data provided by schools via CTF data returns. Children who left school are removed, but children who were absent, working below or unable to access the test are included as not achieving. |
| Key Stage 2 | Validated DfE data from December each year. The figures therefore discount pupils recently arrived from overseas, in line with DfE rules. Historical data is the validated performance table data from each year; note that the DfE sometimes retrospectively changes historical data in subsequent publications. Note that this is a different source to the unvalidated version of this profile. |
| School Census | Validated January 2023 school census returns from schools. LA figures do not include special schools or nurseries, but do include primary age pupils from all through schools. |
| Pupil Absence | School census returns from schools covering all three terms in the 2022/23 academic year. Historical data is from DfE validated performance table and Statistical First Release data (in a small number of cases this is suppressed and therefore not shown). Note that LA figures for the current year include primary aged children from all through schools, unlike published DfE data for prior years. Absence analysis does not include pupils who are below the statutory school age (age 5). In line with DfE guidance, 2020/21 analysis treats COVID related absences (X codes) as not being absent, unlike in 2021/22 and 2022/23 - hence 2021/22 and 2022/23 absence often appears higher than in 2020/21. |
| Exclusions | Exclusions data for 2022/23 is from termly schools census returns, matched to pupil characteristics in the January school census. Prior years show the full year, based on DfE published statistics from the school census; however, note that LA figures in this profile include primary aged exclusions from all through schools (shown as a proportion of all primary aged pupils), unlike published DfE data. Reason code analysis is based on the first reason stated for each exclusion for the LA. The national comparisons, however, show the total reasons given that are assigned each code (where one exclusion may have more than one reason). |
| School Workforce | Data from School Workforce Census as of November 2022. Some sickness data is suppressed due to small numbers of teachers involved. Local Authority figures do not include all through schools. |

## Appendix 1 - Data Sources \& Caveats

## Section

## Local Context

## Data Source

Data from the 2021 Census and the Department for Work and Pensions (DWP). The average values for small geographical areas (OA and Ward level) were matched to the postcodes of school children (taken from the validated January 2023 school census returns from schools). See below for details on each of the measures:
Relative Low Income - Ward level data from the 2022 DWP release: Children in low income families: local area statistics. This gives the percentage of 0-15 year olds living in a family defined as being in low income before housing costs.
Overcrowded Households - Output Area level data from the 2021 Census (ONS), under the Housing topic. This is based on the occupancy rating for bedrooms, which is calculated by comparing the number of bedrooms required by the household to the number of available bedrooms. Households with a negative occupancy rating are defined as being overcrowded.
Social Rented Houses - Output Area level data from the 2021 Census (ONS), under the Housing topic. This gives the percentage of resident households that fall under the "Rented: Social rented" category for the tenure of household.
Highest Qualification Level Below Level 2 - Output Area level data from the 2021 Census (ONS), under the Education topic. This gives the percentage of the resident population that either holds no qualifications, or only holds a Level 1 or entry level qualification.
Young People Not in Good Health - Output Area level data from the 2021 Census (ONS), under the Health topic. This gives the percentage of $0-15$ year old residents who assessed the general state of their health as not being either "Good" or "Very Good".
Young Carers - Output Area level data from the 2021 Census (ONS), under the Health topic. This gives the percentage of 0-15 year old residents that are recorded as providing any hours of unpaid care per week.
Lone Parent Households - Output Area level data from the 2021 Census (ONS), under the population topic. This gives the percentage of households that fall under the category of "Single family household: Lone parent family: With dependent children" for household composition.
Resident in the UK Less than 2 years - Output Area level data from the 2021 Census (ONS), under the Population topic. This gives the percentage of all usual residents, who were not born in the UK, and most recently arrived to live in the UK less than 2 years ago.

Note that contextual analysis (e.g. KS1 analysis by ethnicity) is created by linking the above data sources to the January census for the same year and school, using a pupil's UPN. Note that, owing to pupil mobility, this may not be the same as the analysis in schools' own systems which may include the characteristics of new pupils on roll at the point of the assessment in the summer term.

| Appendix 2 - Glossary |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ARE | Age related expectations |
| CL | Communication and Language (EYFSP prime area of learning) |
| Disadvantaged | Term used to describe pupils who are either FSM Ever 6 (i.e. they have received free school meals at some point in the preceding 6 years), adopted from care or Looked After Children. "Other" is the term used to class all pupils other than those classed as disadvantaged |
| EAD | Expressive Arts and Design (EYFSP specific area of learning) |
| EHCP | Education, Health and Care Plan |
| EYFSP | Early Years Foundation Stage Profile |
| FSM | Free School Meals. Note that in this Profile, "FSM Eligible" describes pupils who were eligible for free school meals on the date of the school census (as opposed to FSM Ever 6 which looks at FSM eligibility at any point in the preceding 6 years) |
| FSM Ever 6 | A pupil who has received free school meals at some point in the preceding 6 years |
| FT | Fixed term (Exclusion) - now referred to as a suspension by the DfE |
| FTE | Full-time equivalent. In FTE calculations part-time nursery pupils count as half an FTE, rather than one pupil used in headcount calculations. |
| GLD | Good Level of Development at EYFSP defined as a pupil achieving the expected level or better in all aspects within the prime areas of learning (CLL, PD, PSED) and within literacy and maths |
| GPS | Grammar, Punctuation and Spelling (test at KS2) |
| IDACI | Income Deprivation Affecting Children Index - A national dataset that allows linking of a pupil's home postcode to a relative deprivation score. The index was updated in 2019 |
| Joined school | A pupil's entry date is used to calculate the year in which they joined the school. However, note that entry dates can be affected by a school becoming an academy and by the merging of infant and junior schools |
| KS | Key stage |
| LA | Local authority |
| Lit | Literacy (EYFSP specific area of learning) |
| Math | Abbreviation used for Mathematics (EYFSP specific area of learning) |
| PD | Physical Development (EYFSP prime area of learning) |
| Persistent Absentee | A pupil attending school for $90 \%$ or less of their possible sessions |
| PSED | Personal, Social and Emotional Development (EYFSP prime area of learning) |
| RWM | Reading, Writing \& Maths combined |
| Scaled Score | A pupil's raw test score is converted to a scaled score. A scaled score of 100 or more means the child has met the expected standard for the test |
| SEMH | Social, Emotional and Mental Health (SEN primary need type) |
| SEN | Special Educational Need |
| Severe Absentee | A pupil attending school for $50 \%$ or less of their possible sessions |
| Suspension | Formerly known as a fixed term exclusion |
| TA | Teacher assessment |
| UPN | Unique Pupil Number |
| UTW | Understanding of the World (EYFSP specific area of learning) |
| 10\% Most Deprived <br> Areas | Term used in the contextual analysis in this Profile to show pupils living in the $10 \%$ most deprived areas nationally (based on IDACI scores). Note that it does not show your school's 10\% most deprived pupils (and some schools in less deprived areas may have no pupils in this category) |

## Appendix 3 - EYFSP Aspects and Mapping to Old Framework

The table below shows the areas of learning and aspects from the EYFSP framework in use from 2021/22, and which aspect from the previous framework is shown for comparison purposes in the EYFS analysis in this profile.

| Area of Learning | EYFSP aspect from 2022 | Aspect for comparison from 2019 and earlier |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Communication and Language | Listening, attention and understanding <br> Speaking | The lower of Listening and Attention \& Understanding |
| Personal, Social and Emotional <br> Development | Self-regulation <br> Managing self <br> Building relationships |  |
| Physical Development | Gross motor skills <br> Fine motor skills | Self-confidence and Self-awareness <br> Managing Feelings and Behaviour <br> Literacy |
| Comprehension <br> Word reading <br> Writing | Making relationships |  |
| Mathematics | Numbers <br> Numerical patterns | Reading |
| Understanding the World | Past and present <br> People, culture and communities <br> The natural world | Writing |
| Expressive Arts and Design | Creating with materials <br> Being imaginative and expressive | N/A |

Mime are experts in communicating complex information with clarity within the education sector. We are passionate about using data to improve the life chances of young people. For more information, please get in touch.



[^0]:    *Number of pupils (see page 4 for explanation)

[^1]:    *2019/20 and 2020/21 data was affected by the COVID pandemic and only shows the Autumn term position for schools, LA and England. In line with DfE guidance, 2020/21 analysis treats COVID related absences ( $X$ codes) as not being absent, unlike in 2021/22 and 2022/23.
    ^England comparisons for 2022/23 are for the Autumn and Spring terms only.

[^2]:    2019/20 and 2020/21 data is affected by the periods of school closure due to the COVID-19 pandemic and therefore rates are lower than for a typical year.
    ^ School and LA reason code analysis shows the first reason stated for an exclusion, whereas England data shows all reasons given for each exclusion (i.e. one exclusion may have more than one reason).

[^3]:    Note that * indicates that the data is supressed or missing

